

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Trapped magnetic field between double stacked MgB_2 bulks magnetized by pulsed field

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2014 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 507 032016 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/507/3/032016) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: This content was downloaded by: hfujishiro3 IP Address: 114.159.185.35 This content was downloaded on 25/07/2014 at 12:28

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Trapped magnetic field between double stacked MgB₂ bulks magnetized by pulsed field

H Fujishiro¹, T Ujiie¹, T Naito¹, A Figini Albisetti² and G Giunchi³

¹ Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda Morioka, Japan

² EDISON S.p.A., Foro Buonaparte 31, 20121 Milano, Italy

³ Materials Science Consultant, via Teodosio 8, 20131 Milano, Italy

E-mail: fujishiro@iwate-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Pulsed field magnetization (PFM) was performed at $T_s=14$ K for the double stacked MgB₂ bulks (bulk pair) 55 mm diameter fabricated by a reactive liquid Mg infiltration (Mg-RLI) method, compared with that for the single bulk. The trapped field of $B_r=0.80$ T was achieved between two bulks and B_z at the bulk surface was enhanced from 0.42 T to 0.50 T by stacking of the bulks. The trapped field characteristics of the bulk pair can be qualitatively explained by the model analyses.

1. Introduction

 MgB_2 bulk magnet has attractive natures such as low cost, light-weight, and weak-link-free homogeneous current flow, which are clear contrast with REBaCuO (RE=rare earth element) superconducting bulk magnets. To magnetize the superconducting bulks, a pulsed field magnetization (PFM) is an inexpensive and mobile experimental setup with no need of a superconducting magnet, as a substitute for field-cooled magnetization (FCM). We have investigated the PFM procedure for the REBaCuO bulks to enhance the trapped field [1-3]. Considering the obtained experimental results, we proposed a new PFM technique, in which multi-pulses are applied under different temperatures, and realized over 5 T on a GdBaCuO bulk, which is a record-high value by PFM to date [3]. For MgB₂ bulks, the results of the trapped field by FCM have been mainly reported. The higher trapped field was reported to be 2.25 T at 15 K on the single MgB₂ bulk [4] and 3.14 T at 17.4 K in the double stacked MgB₂ bulks [5]. We have performed the PFM procedure for the single MgB₂ bulk fabricated by a reactive liquid Mg infiltration (Mg-RLI) [6] and by a capsule method [7] with 20-50 mm in diameter at 16-30 K, where the trapped field of 0.47 T at 23 K and 0.71 T at 16 K were realized, respectively. To analyze the flux dynamics during PFM, we performed a numerical simulation of PFM for the MgB_2 bulk [8], which reproduced qualitatively the experimental results. The enhancement of the bulk thickness can achieve the higher trapped field because of the elimination of the demagnetization factor.

In this study, we investigated the trapped field characteristics by PFM for large MgB₂ of single bulk and double stacked bulks (bulk pair) fabricated by Mg-RLI method. The magnetic flux intrusion and flux trap during PFM are discussed using a numerical simulation.

2. Experimental Procedure

The thick MgB₂ bulk disk of 55 mm in diameter, which was fabricated by the Mg-RLI technique [9], was sliced and two bulk plates (A and B) were prepared. The thickness of bulk-A and bulk-B was 15.3

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution (cc) $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$ of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

and 10.3 mm, respectively. The superconducting transition temperature T_c and the trapped field B_z of the bulk-B magnetized by FCM at 20 K were, respectively, confirmed to be 38 K and 2.0 T. Each bulk was mounted in stainless steel (SUS) ring of 8 mm thickness filled with a stycast 2850GT resin. Figure 1 shows the experimental setups for PFM around the bulk. For the bulk pair as shown in figure 1(a), the bulk-A was set on a soft iron voke cylinder and tightly anchored onto the cold stage of a Gifford-McMahon cycle helium refrigerator. The bulk-B was also mounted on the bulk-A with the insertion of the brass plate 2 mm in thickness. The initial temperature T_{sA} of the bulk-A was set to 14 K. A magnetizing solenoid copper coil (94 mm i.d., 153 mm o.d., and 50 mm height), which was dipped in liquid nitrogen, was placed outside the vacuum chamber. A magnetic pulse $B_{ex}(t)$ with a rise time of 0.012 s and a duration time of 0.15 s was applied to the bulk by flowing the pulsed current. The time evolutions of the local fields $B_L^{C}(t)$ and the subsequent trapped fields B_z between two bulks and at the center of the surface were, respectively, monitored by Hall sensors of HS-a and HS-b (F W Bell, BHT 921 and BHA 921). Two-dimensional trapped field profiles of $B_z(1 \text{ mm})$ were mapped at a distance of z=1 mm above the bulk surface. During PFM, the time dependence of temperature T(t) of each bulk was measured at each SUS ring using CernoxTM thermometers of TM-a and TM-b. The similar experiments were performed for the single bulk-A as shown in figure 1(b).



Figure 1. Experimental PFM setups for (a) the bulk pair and (b) the single bulk-A.



Figure 2. Trapped field B_z for the bulk pair (HS-a and HS-b) and the single bulk (HS-a'), as a function of the applied pulsed field B_{ex} .

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental results

Figure 2 shows the trapped field B_z for the bulk pair and the single bulk-A, as a function of the applied pulsed field B_{ex} . For the bulk pair, B_z at the surface (HS-b) increases, takes a maximum of 0.50 T at $B_{ex}=1.79$ T and then decreases with increasing B_{ex} . B_z in the bulk pair (HS-a) also increases with increasing B_{ex} and takes a maximum of 0.80 T at $B_{ex}=1.98$ T, which is the highest B_z value by PFM to date. The B_z vs B_{ex} curve in the bulk pair shifts the higher B_{ex} side, compared with that for the surface because the demagnetization factor between the bulks was eliminated and the shielding behavior of the stack is more effective. For the single bulk-A, the maximum B_z was 0.42 T. The maximum of total trapped flux Φ for the bulk pair and single bulk-A was, respectively, 0.88 mWb for $B_{ex}=1.55$ T and 0.69 mWb for $B_{ex}=1.36$ T, which were obtained at B_{ex} lower than that, at which B_z took a maximum.

Figure 3 presents the trapped field profiles on the MgB₂ bulks for $B_{ex}=1.55$ and 1.98 T. The profiles for the bulk pair are shown in figures 3(a) and 3(b). For $B_{ex}=1.55$ T, the trapped field profile is concave because of the lower applied field than the optimum one, where the right lower position indicated by the arrow is difficult to trap the magnetic flux, which may reflect the inhomogeneity of critical current density J_c in the bulk-B. On the other hand, for $B_{ex}=1.98$ T as shown in figure 3(b), the

magnetic flux was intruded into the central region of the bulk and the trapped field profiles are nearly the conical one. The profiles on the bulk pair may be influenced by the J_c distribution in the bulk-A. The profiles for the single bulk-A are shown in figures 3(c) and 3(d). For $B_{ex}=1.55$ T as shown in figure 3(c), the magnetic flux was intruded into the central region of the bulk with a small inflection around the arrows. The conical B_z profile can be obtained $B_{ex}=1.79$ T and, for $B_{ex}=1.98$ T, the conical profile maintains with the decrease in the maximum value, as shown in figure 3(d). The trapped field profiles of the bulk pair are not necessarily the same as that for each bulk. The complicated flux intrusion and trap can be considered for the stacking, even though J_c distribution seem to be identical.

Figure 4 shows the time evolution of the applied field $B_{ex}(t)$ and local fields $B_L^{C}(t)$ at the center of the bulk surface for $B_{ex}=1.55$ and 1.98 T. For each B_{ex} , $B_L^{C}(t)$ at the bulk surface (HS-b) first starts to increase and takes a maximum, and then decreases to a final value due to the flux flow. $B_L^{C}(t)$ in the bulk pair (HS-a) shows the similar time dependence with a time delay because of the strong shielding current in the bulk center. For lower $B_{ex}=1.55$ T, the final B_z at HS-a is smaller than that at HS-b. On the other hand, for higher $B_{ex}=1.98$ T, the magnitude relation of the final B_z was reversed.

Figure 5 shows the time dependences of the temperatures T(t) of the bulk pair at TM-a and TM-b for B_{ex} =1.98 T. The temperatures increase abruptly just after the pulse application, and then quickly decrease with increasing time, which are clear contrast with those for the REBaCuO bulk [1]. The sharp temperature changes result from the low specific heat and high thermal conductivity of the MgB₂ bulk. It should be noted that the early temperature profiles are quite different; T(t) at TM-b shows the spike-like behavior, but T(t) at TM-a changes moderately. The difference may come from that of the thermal environment for each bulk. Furthermore, the initial temperature of the bulk-B at TM-b was 17 K, which was 3 K higher than that of the lower bulk-A at TM-a. The result suggests that the thermal contact of the bulk-B is not sufficient to the bulk-A and/or the thermal radiation induces from the surface of the bulk-B. The temperature gradient may exist along the thickness direction in the conduction-cooled bulk, even in the single bulk. In the inset, the applied field dependence of the maximum temperature T_{max} was also shown. T_{max} monotonically increases with increasing B_{ex} .



Figure 3. Trapped field profiles on the bulk pair ((a) and (b)) and the single bulk-A ((c) and (d)) for B_{ex} =1.55 and 1.98 T.



Figure 4. Time evolution of the applied field $B_{\rm ex}(t)$ and local fields $B_{\rm L}^{\rm C}(t)$ at the centre of the bulk surface for $B_{\rm ex}$ =1.55 and 1.98 T.

3.2. Results of numerical simulation and discussion

In order to understand the experimental results of the PFM for the bulk pair, we performed the numerical simulation. Physical phenomena during PFM were described using electromagnetic and thermal fields. The power-*n* model (n=50) was supposed to describe the nonlinear *E-J* characteristic in the bulk. The temperature and magnetic field dependence of the critical current density $J_c(T, B)$ was defined. The details of the simulation are described elsewhere [2, 8].



Figure 5. Time dependence of the temperatures of the bulk pair at TM-a and TM-b after applying the magnetic pulse of B_{ex} =1.98 T.



Figure 6. Results of the simulation of the trapped field B_z of the bulk pair at (a) $T_{sA}=T_{sB}= 14$ K and (b) $T_{sA}=14$ K, $T_{sB}=17$ K.

Figure 6(a) shows the results of the simulation of the trapped field B_z of the bulk pair at $T_{sA}=T_{sB}=14$ K, as a function of B_{ex} . B_z in the bulk pair (HS-a) and on the bulk surface (HS-b) show the similar B_{ex} dependences; both of B_z take a maximum at $B_{ex}=1.8$ T and then decrease with increasing B_{ex} . B_z at the position (b) is very slightly higher than that at the position (a) for B_{ex} lower than 1.7 T. These results reproduce the experimental ones qualitatively (see Fig. 2). Figure 6(b) shows the similar results of the simulation of the trapped field B_z at $T_{sA}=14$ K and $T_{sB}=17$ K, which are the similar condition to the experimental results as shown in figure 5. Both absolute B_z values at the position (b) shifts lower B_{ex} side. The J_c value in the bulk-B decreases and the magnetic flux is easy to penetrate in the bulk-A. The temperature rise increases and the trapped field of both bulks decreases. The relation shown in figure 6(b) is more qualitatively similar than that in figure 6(a) to that obtained by the experimental results in figure 2.

In summary, pulsed field magnetization was performed at T_s =14 K for the double stacked MgB₂ bulks fabricated by a reactive liquid Mg infiltration (Mg-RLI) method. The trapped field of B_z =0.80 T was achieved between two bulks and B_z at the bulk surface was enhanced from 0.42 T to 0.50 T by stacking of the bulks. The trapped field characteristics such as the B_z vs B_{ex} relations at the bulk surface and in the bulk pair can be qualitatively explained by the model analyses.

References

- [1] Fujishiro H, Oka T, Yokoyama K, Kaneyama M and Noto K 2004 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **14** 1054
- [2] Fujishiro H and Naito T 2010 Supercond. Sci. Technol. 23 105021
- [3] Fujishiro H, Tateiwa T, Fujiwara A, Oka T and Hayashi H 2006 Physica C 445–448 334
- [4] Yamamoto A et al. 2011 Abstracts of The 15th Japan-US Workshop on Advanced Superconductors, arXiv:1208.1854
- [5] Durrell J H, Dancer C E J, Dennis A, Shi Y, Xu Z, Campbell A M, Hari Babu N, Todd R I, Grovenor C R M and Cardwell D A 2012 *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **25** 112002
- [6] Fujishiro H, Tamura T, Arayashiki T, Oyama M, Sasaki T, Naito T, Giunchi G and Albisetti A F 2012 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 51 103005
- [7] Fujishiro H, Naito T, Sasaki T and Arayashiki T 2013 Proc. ICEC24-ICMC2012 571
- [8] Fujishiro H, Naito T, Oyama M, Arayashiki T, Tamura T, Sasaki T and Giunchi G' 2013 IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 23 6800804
- [9] Perini E, Giunchi G, Saglietti L, Albisetti A, Matrone A, and Cavaliere V 2011 *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, **21** 2690